UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/622,144 | 07/18/2003 | Melissa Wiedemann | 017750-420 | 1878 |
| 7590 08/10/2007 PATRICK C. KEANE BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RASHID, DAVID | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Alexandria, VA | Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 | | 2624 | |
| | | | 2024 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | | | 08/10/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/622,144 | WIEDEMANN ET AL. | | | | |
| | | 10/622,144 Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | David P. Rashid | 2624 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period fo | or Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| · — | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 1) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| _ | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| • | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| • • | ion Papers The appelliantian is objected to by the Evenine | | | | | | |
| ,— | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accident | | Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| * ; | oee the attached detailed Office action for a list | er and derained depies not receive | - | | | | |
| Attachmei | nt(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) 🔲 Noti | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Info | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal I | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, drawn to identifying an object using two resolution image processing, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraph 0004, and defined by claim 1 and its dependent claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 299 (Image Transformation or Pre-Processing, Raising or Lowering the Image Resolution).

Species II, drawn to identifying an object using image using segmentation, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraph 0005, and defined by claim 10 and its dependent claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 173 (Image Segmentation).

Species III, drawn to identifying an object using image using large scale processing and resolution comparison, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraph 0006, and defined by claim 17 and its dependent claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 190 (Feature Extraction).

Species IV, drawn to identifying an object using image using small scale processing and filtering, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraph 0007, and defined by claim 22 and its dependent claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 199 (Pattern Boundary and Edge Measurements).

Species V, drawn to identifying an object using image line extraction, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraph 0008, and defined by **claim 27**, and its dependent

Art Unit: 2624

claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 193 (Feature Counting).

Species VI, drawn to identifying an object using small scale processing, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraph 0009, and defined by **claim 38**, and its dependent claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 202 (Linear Stroke Analysis).

Species VII, drawn to identifying an object using small scale processing and line comparison, identified as a separate embodiment by the specification at paragraphs 0010 - 0012, and defined by claims 41, 47, and 48 and its dependent claims. This subject matter is classified in class 382 (Image Analysis), subclass 203 (Shape and Form Analysis).

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another

Art Unit: 2624

species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,144 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David P. Rashid whose telephone number is (571) 270-1578. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday 8:30 - 17:00 ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Werner can be reached on (571) 272-7401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,144

Art Unit: 2624

/<u>David P. Rashid</u>/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

David P Rashid Examiner Art Unit 2624

/Brian P. Werner/ Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE), Art Unit 2624